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Abstract
In this work we made an elaboration of theoretical and practical aspects in manifestation, measurement and assessment of balance in 7 year old children, as well as the representation of balance in PE contents in national PHE curriculum for second grade students. Presented knowledge has been obtained in a research realized on a sample of 123 male examinees, 7 year old children, second grade students in 5 primary schools in Skopje, Macedonia. Using adequate statistical methods we determined the manifestation of balance in children latent motor space, characteristics of test used for estimation of balance as well as norms for following and assessment of children’s achievements in suggested tests as a foundation for following and assessment in PE teaching process and creation of final grade for PHE. Following tests were used: Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK), Standing on a bench in width (RASKS) and Standing on a bench in length (RASKD). Obtained results point out a great variability of results, high coefficients for reliability and satisfactory validity of applied tests. According to this results, the test Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK), is recommended for future use for estimation of dynamic balance, while the test Standing on a bench in width (RASKS) has best metric characteristics and it is recommended for future use for evaluation of static balance in 7 year old children.
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INTRODUCTION
One of the main goals of the physical and health education, defined in the national curriculum for nine – year compulsory education in the Republic of Macedonia is development of motor abilities (Bureau for the development of education, 2007). Motor abilities are fundamental determinant of human motor space. Their development is based on human native characteristics and training (Kukolj, 2006) and it is highly related to the acquisition of different motor skills and habits (Matić, 1978). Different levels of development of motor abilities suggest different levels of motor efficiency and are related to development of human individual potentials (Jovanovski, Jovanovska, 2013). Considering the fact that motor abilities in children are manifested and developed differently, compared with adults (Jürimäe, T., & Jürimäe, J., 2001; Pišot & Planinišec, 2005, Bala & Katić, 2009), development, following and assessment of motor abilities in pre-school children and children in the early school period is one of the most important issues of research in kinesiology. This is especially important from the aspect of PE teaching process, sport training and selection of youth as well. From the aspect of PE teaching process, manifestation and assessment of motor abilities is especially important issue considering the fact that changes in motor abilities are one of the criteria for creation of final grade from the subject physical and health education, criteria for following and evaluation of individual development and improvement of every child, as well as a manner to determinate the efficiency of applied PE curriculum. In the process of sport training of youth, the level of basic motor abilities development should be one of the main objective criteria for selection of children in certain sport disciplines.

Balance is one of the abilities that defines human motor space. It is especially important in sports that are performed on reduced or unstable surface, such as beam exercises in gymnastics, ice skating, hockey, skiing, bicycling etc. In certain movements and sports, balance is very important in different phases of movement: when
maintaining certain position (example: gymnastics), during the movement (turns in gymnastics, dancing, ice skating etc), or at the end of the movement. Balance is defined as ability to undertake and maintain the necessary body position during a period of time (Jovanovski, 2013). Zaciorski (1975), defines balance as ability to maintain a stable balanced position of the body when performing different movements and maintaining different positions.

Speaking about the latent structure of balance, authors mainly defined two types or factors of balance: static balance - when a body is not moving, or ability to maintain balanced position over a longer period of time and dynamic balance or ability to maintain balanced position of the body and changes of position during a number of repeated movements (Age group development..., 1999); Zaciorski, 1975; Gać, 1985). In their studies, besides these two factors of balance, Kurelić et al., (1975) have isolated yet another factor of balance named as a factor for balancing objects. In latent space, static balance is also defined as a balance with visual control (open eye balance) and balance without visual control (closed eye balanced movements) (Kurelić et al., 1975; Metikos, Prot, Hofman, Pintar, & Oreb, 1989).

From the aspect of structure of children’s motor space, depending on the applied model of research, the structural model (Metikoš et al., 1989) and the functional model, or model of hierarchic structure (Kurelić et al., 1975) the balance is isolated as independent factor or as a part of mechanism for sinergetic regulation and regulation of muscle tonus. Regarded to this, in studies conducted with early school age children, as independent motor factor, balance, is isolated in studies conducted by Strel & Šturm, 1981; Perić, 1991; Rajtmajer, 1997; Sabo (Caño) 2002; Pišot & Planinšec, 2005; Popeska, 2009; 2011. In these researches, balance is isolated as ability to maintain a complex motor structures in balance (Strel & Šturm, 1981), ability to maintain balanced positions or static balance (Pišot & Planinšec, 2005; Popeska, 2009; 2011). In studies where motor structure is defined with one general motor factor, balance is isolated as a part of the mechanism for regulation of muscle tonus and sinergetic regulation (Rausavljević, 1992; Bala, Sabo & Popović, 2005; Toskić, Stanković, & Okićić, 2012; Zrnzević, Lilić, & Zrnzević, 2013). In all these studies conducted with 7 years old children, beside balance, the mechanism for regulation of muscle tonus and sinergetic regulation is also defined with the existence of flexibility. According to Pišot & Planinšec (2005) in a sense of development process, there is a tendency for maintaining and development of static balance at the beginning and fier that, in later stages of growth, development of dynamic balance. This could be explained with the function of proprioceptors and the ability to process information form the environment and position of the body in space, responsible for standing in balanced position and maintaining balance. The physiological foundation of balance is in integration of many informations in one continuous movement, which suggests on certain connection with the mechanism of structure of movements, particularly with coordination (Planinsec & Pišot, 2005).

Previously mentioned notes, lead to determination of balance factors, manifestation and development. As a perfect example of perception and movement integration, balance is dependent on development of sense of vision, sense of hearing and proprioreceptors. Upon these, many researches examine the relation between manifestation and development of balance in children with hearing impaired, cerebral palsy, problems with sense of vision, children with Down sindrome (Uzun, 2013; Rajendran & Roy, 2011; Meneghetti, Blascovi – Assis, Deloroso, & Rodrigues, 2009).

Improvement of balance depends from the type of the task and in general it’s developing in the age period between 3 to 19 years. Upon the heredity of balance, its determined that balance is conditioned by the external environmental factors and the genetic code as well. It depends mostly on the efficient work of the small brain were the information from vestibular aperture are processed. The coefficient of heredity of balance, calculated in different tests is around 0.41 – 0.74 (Gajic, 1985). In the process of balance development, as children grow, they use more their kinesthetic information, regarded the visual information. Learning different movements, motor experiences allow children to redefine the motor control and maintain balance (Haywood & Getchel, 2004).

As regards the gender differences, as confirmed in many researches (Bala,1981; Perić,1991; Bala,1999, 2002; Bala & Nicin,1997; Pejčić & Malacko, 2005) there are no significant differences in manifestation of balance between males and females at the age of seven. Numerical differences but yet not statistical significant differences are obtained between gender in a sense of better test results in males (Zurc, Pišot & Strojinic, 2005). The authors Figura, Cama, Capranica, Guidetti & Pulejo (1991) noted more evident relationship between age and postural (static) balance, compared with gender. Improvement in static balance is noted in the 6 – 10 years range. Significant improvement of static balance is noted between the ages of 6 and 8, where between 8 and 10 the Improvement were smaller. This suggests that around 8 years of age some static balance abilities have already been acquired, such as two-feet postures, but somewhat more difficult postures, such as standing on one foot only, are still in their major developmental phases.

All previously mention notes about balance emphasize that motor abilities, including balance are in relation with certain period of age in childhood which is characterized with uncompleted development, uncompleted regulations of CNS, ongoing functional development, individual tempo of development, concerning different “biological time” in any individual. Therefore, findings about children’s motor abilities in any age period, as well as specific manners of their manifestation and development and possibilities for their following and estimation, are the key issues for the PE teaching
process. Speaking about measurement and evaluation of motor ability in children, besides regular demands for standardization and good metric characteristics, motor tasks used in tests for estimation of children’s motor abilities, should be conducted as a content of education curricula for certain age, or should be specially designed or modified for certain age group. According to the authors Ikeda & Aoyagi (2007) it is especially hard to select a motor test for children that will be sufficiently reliable, valid and practical because young children have not developed their sense for time and rivalry yet.

These issues were the basis for this paper, in aim to suggest motor tests with good metric characteristics used for estimation of balance at 7 year old children, to propose normative for evaluation of children’s achievements and suggest contents for improvement of balance in 7 year old children. These normatives could be used as an objective manner for determination of children’s achievements in order to follow their individual improvement, while suggested content could be used in order to contribute to the variety of PE classes.

METHODS

The research was realized on a sample of 123 examiners, 7 years old male children, pupils in second grade in five primary schools in Skopje, Republic of Macedonia. The examiners were tested in three motor tests hypothetically used for estimation of balance. Following tests were used: Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK), Standing on bench in width (RASKS) and Standing on bench in length (RASKD). The test Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK) was previously used with pre – school children in research of Perić (1991). Other two tests Standing on bench in width (RASKS) and Standing on bench in length (RASKD) were used and recommended by Bala (1981). All three tests were applied as two – item tests, realized with two repetitions. Considering the age and possibilities of examiners this number is accepted, needed and also recommended by other authors that realized researches with same age groups (Bala 1981, Pisol & Planinsec, 2005).

Tests characteristics: discrimination (sensitivity), asymmetric homogeneity, reliability, validity and representativeness are determined for all three applied tests. Discrimination, asymmetric and homogeneity were determined using measures for tendency and dispersion; reliability was estimated based of Crombah α and Spearman – Brown’s (SB) coefficients of reliability (coefficients higher than 0.80 are considered significant). Validity of motor tests is calculated using Pearson’s – coefficient of correlation (r), the value of characteristic roots, factor scores of projections of isolated factor and communalities using Hotelling procedures (values should be higher or equal at 0.80), while Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin’s measure is used for estimation of reliability and representativity (values should be higher or equal at 0.80), while Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin’s measure is used for estimation of reliability and representativity (coefficient around .90 is excellent representativity, around.80 very good; around .70 good; .60 average; .50 the test have bad representativity and below.50 unacceptable). Normative for children’s achievements in motor tests are defined using percentile classes and percentile values for 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 75, 80, 90, 95, 97 and 99 percentile. The results in final table for estimation of children’s motor achievements are obtained with reduction of percentile distribution in 5 percentile classes defined as: above 95 percentile – excellent achievement; from 75 to 95 percentile – achievement above average; from 26 to 75 percentile – average achievement; from 5 to 25 percentile – below average achievement and under 5 percentile – very bad achievement.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Basic descriptive statistics parameters for all three tests applied for estimation of balance in 7 year old children are presented in Table 1. Obtained results for reliability, validity and representativeness for every certain test are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

According to the results presented in Table 1, declination from normal distribution is noted in two out of three tests applied for estimation of balance: standing on a bench in width (RASKS) and standing on a bench in length (RASKD), or two tests that estimate static balance. Progressive improvement of average achievements from first to the second repetition is obtained for all three applied tests. This is also confirmed in study of tests characteristics including the balance test conducted by Bala (1999). This could be explained with better understanding of the task, acquisition of technique for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Sx</th>
<th>KV</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>skew</th>
<th>Kurt</th>
<th>KS</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAOSK1</td>
<td>14,20</td>
<td>4,74</td>
<td>0,43</td>
<td>33,36</td>
<td>5,54</td>
<td>35,23</td>
<td>29,69</td>
<td>1,33</td>
<td>3,16</td>
<td>0,10</td>
<td>p &gt; .20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAOSK2</td>
<td>13,43</td>
<td>4,83</td>
<td>0,44</td>
<td>35,96</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>33,26</td>
<td>27,86</td>
<td>1,47</td>
<td>2,97</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>p &lt; .10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASKS1</td>
<td>5,98</td>
<td>5,53</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td>92,39</td>
<td>0,81</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33,19</td>
<td>2,12</td>
<td>5,63</td>
<td>0,21*</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASKS2</td>
<td>6,26</td>
<td>5,38</td>
<td>0,48</td>
<td>85,84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30,5</td>
<td>29,5</td>
<td>2,06</td>
<td>5,22</td>
<td>0,16*</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASKD1</td>
<td>11,14</td>
<td>10,08</td>
<td>0,91</td>
<td>90,50</td>
<td>1,11</td>
<td>45,9</td>
<td>44,79</td>
<td>1,35</td>
<td>1,16</td>
<td>0,19*</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASKD2</td>
<td>11,50</td>
<td>11,12</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>96,65</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>67,36</td>
<td>66,21</td>
<td>2,38</td>
<td>7,47</td>
<td>0,18*</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
realization and greater motivation of children for higher achievement. The same children were tested in same tests a year earlier in first grade (Popeska, 2014). Obtained results point out better average achievements in all three applied tests at the age of 7. These differences are statistically significant for the test walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK) and only numerically better but statistically insignificant for the other two tests standing on a bench in width (RASKS) and standing on a bench in length (RASKD). Obtained results point out improvement of balance in children during one year period, while differences are explained with development changes characteristic for 6 and 7 year old children, manifested as different tempo of development and individual tempo of manifestation of certain development processes that influence to nearly the same achievement in the researched segment (Popeska, 2014). Values of the tests for discrimination (the relation of x and SD, 3:1) and asymmetry (skewness), suggest on tests with low discrimination which do not measure the differences in children’s achievements and tests that are relatively hard to perform by these population of children. Authors Ikeda & Aoyagi (2007) in their study also noted that children have difficulties in performance of tests for stability and balance, or they are hard for them.

Table 2. Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK), reliability, validity and representativity obtained at 7 years old children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>SMC</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>h²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach’s α</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>KMO</td>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Standing on bench in width (RASKS), reliability, validity and representativity obtained at 7 years old children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>SMC</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>h²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach’s α</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>KMO</td>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results for reliability, validity and representativeness of every of applied tests for balance are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4. Values of Spirman – Brown and Cronach’s α coefficients of reliability (from .89 to .93) of all three movement tasks point out high reliability of all applied tests. Comparison of the three tests shows that the highest coefficient of reliability (.93) of SB is determined for the test Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK), while coefficients (.89) for both SB and Cronbach’s α are obtained for the test Standing on a bench in length (RASKD). Very high reliability of the tests suggests that other unsystematic factors such as emotional condition, concentration, attention etc have low influence on tests results and manifestation of balance in children. High reliability of test for balance of children is also confirmed by Bala (1999); Popeska & Jovanova (2014). Values for KMO index .50 for all three tests for balance suggest on bed representativeness of the tests.

Validity of applied tests is determined using factor analysis. Using Hotelling procedure of results from both repetitions in all four applied tests for balance, one significant root for every factor was isolated and it explains the variability of the applied systems with values from 90.41 to 93.02%. Highly explained variability points out that results obtained in both repetition on the same test are not significantly different which is confirmed with high projections of isolated factor for each test (.96 for RAOSK and RASKS and .95 for RASKD). The high factor validity obtained for applied tests for estimation of balance is also confirmed with significant coefficients of correlation between results of each repetition of every single test (from .81 to .96). High values of communalities from .90 to .93 of isolated factor of every single test confirm the homogeneity of obtained results. Good validity of test for estimation of balance is also confirmed in other studies conducted with children (Perić, 1991; Ikeda & Aoyagi, 2007, Popeska, 2014).

Analyzing the results for validity, reliability and representativeness of the tests for estimation of balance in 7 year old children, all three test have high validity and representativeness and low discrimination and representativeness. This means that all three test could be recommended for future use with 7 years old children. In situations of limited conditions for realization of measurement or in situation where short battery of tests is needed as a test with the best metric characteristics from these group of tests, we recommend the test Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK) for estimation of dynamic balance, or balance in movement and test Standing on bench in width (RASKS) for estimation of static balance.

In Table 5 are presented norms for children’s achievements in motor tests for estimation of balance. They are use with aim to follow the children’s individual improvements and their achievements in motor tests. They are divided in five percentile classes (excel-

Table 4. Standing on bench in length (RASKD), reliability, validity and representativity obtained at 7 years old children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>SMC</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>h²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach’s α</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>KMO</td>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lent achievement, achievement above average, average achievement, achievement below average and very bad achievement). Considering the fact that changes in motor abilities are one of the criteria for creation of final grade from the subject physical and health education, these norms applied for every motor ability, could be one of the criteria as well as an objective manner to follow and evaluate individual development and improvement of every child. Analyzing from the aspect of children, it could be a motivation factor for future individual improvement. Evaluation of childrens improvement in motor abilities could also be an efficient manner to determine the efficiency of applied PE curriculum.

As previously mentioned, children possess balance as a motor ability that defines their motor space and contributes to their motor behaviour (Strel & Šturm, 1981; Perić, 1991; Rajtmajer, 1997; Sabo, 2002; Pisos & Planinšec, 2005; Popeska, 2009; 2011; Rausavljevic, 1981; Perić, 1991; Rajtmajer, 1997; Sabo, 2002; Pišot & Planinšec, 2005). Development of balance, development of perceptual abilities and function of vestibular aparature, maintaining balance when moving in certain positions are some of the aims defined in PE curriculum for second grade in the Republic of Macedonia. They are realized by practicing and performing different contents as a part of five compulsory thematic units: Body shaping, Basics of athletics, Basics of gymnastics and Games and optional units: activities in water, activities at snow, driving a bike, hiking, school sport and sports project. Activities such as different types on walking and running on a beam, rope and other narrow surfaces, crawling on beam, balancing objects while moving, gymnastic elements on floor and beam, driving bicycles and rollers are already part of current PHE curriculum practiced in order to develop balance in children.

CONCLUSION

As a component part of abilities that define the human motor space, balance has an important role in PHE teaching process. All sports and activities that require establishment and maintain on certain position, or movement and realization of motor tasks on narrow and stable surface also require manifestation and development of balance. Relations between balance and other motor abilities, as well as the impact of regular physical activity on maintaining different types of balance are one of the main reasons why this motor ability should be improved and developed during the PE teaching process by using PE contents. In a sense of balance improvement in children, besides current PE contents conducted in PE curriculum for second grade, other activities could be implemented.

Different types of movement games that required maintaining of balance positions of hole body or certain body parts (for example: stone kids), activities such as pushing on beam or other narrow surface, walking and crossing in pairs on narrow surfaces, jumping and turning on trampoline, jumping and walking with tight legs, gymnastics routines on floor and beam appropriate for young children could be implemented in current PE curriculum. Furthermore, implementation of sports such as bicycling, roller, driving trickles and bords, skatting, grass hockey, skiing, bording and other similar sport as a part of regular PE class in a segment of unions realized in cooperation with parents as well as a part of outdoor and extraculicular activities should be implemented and could enrich PHE curriculum in order to maintain and develop balance in young children. This is especially important considering the fact that in the early school period, balance is still in developmental phase (Figura et al, 1991) and it’s development process should start as early as possible because it’s a sequential process conditioned by genetical components and environmental impact as well. These components are also highly related with the phases of childrens development and its characteristics. Regarding to development characteristics manifestation and development of balance is also related with development of kinestetic system, sences, spatial orientation etc. Kinestetic system is related with information of positions of certain body parts as well as spatial orientation and these perception is creating differently in children. At the age of 6, nearly 2/3 of kids
identify all body parts and these is related with maintaining balance positions. Spatial orientation is highly related with sense of vision and a sensitive period for it’s development is the period between 6 and 8 years (Haywood & Getchell, 2004). From the other hand, this is related with balance as well. Integration of sense of vision and hearing is improved between 5 and 12 years, while visual – kinesthetic integration continuous to develop until the age of 11 (Haywood & Getchell, 2004). All these findings emphasize the importance of age period when consider the motor abilities and work with children.

Speaking about the evaluation and assessment of balance at 7 years old children, according obtained result, all three applied tests for balance in these research point out a good metric characteristics. Particularly, tests results suggest point out on high validity and representativeness and low discrimination and representativeness and could recommended for future use with 7 years old children. In situations of limited conditions for realiztion of measurement or in situation where short battery of tests is needed as a test with the best metric characteristics from these group of tests, we recommend the test Walking on upturned Swedish bench (RAOSK) for estimation of dynamic balance, or balance in movement and test Standing on bench in width (RASKS) for estimation of static balance. When testing children’s ability, certain development characteristics should be also considered. In this since, we could talk about children emotional instability (Age groupe development..., 1999), their motivation and unpreparedness to activate their full potentials (Jürimae, T., & Jürimae, J., 2001), disorientation from the goal and understanding of testing as a game, which is especially noted in younger children. These and many other similar situations are the reason for many practical problems during the testing process with young children noted in this type of researches (Rajmajer, 1997; Pišot & Planišec, 2005; Popeska, 2011). These findings allows certain activities, such as motivation, encouraging, demonstration and previous tries of the motor tasks, which are unacceptable in work with adults to be justified and recommended in work with children (Bala, 1999; Jurić, T., & Jurić, M., 2001). Therefore, in researches from this type as well as in everyday work with children, knowledge and appreciations of characteristics of children emotional and psychological development as well as their influence on children’s motor abilities are essential. This means respect of holistic approach in work with children.
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